

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,148	05/14/2001	Takeshi Sasaki	NEC 142491 1115		
7590 08/23/2005			EXAMINER		
Norman P. Soloway			DUONG, THOI V		
HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY, GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
175 Canal Street			2871		
Manchester, NH 03101			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	į	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/855,14		SASAKI, TAKESHI			
		Examiner		Art Unit	—(hm		
		Thoi V. Du	ong	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic				ss		
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO sicons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stative to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. days, a reply within the statu utory period will apply and wil fill, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>31 May 2005</u> .					
′==	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[_							
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qui</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-9</u> ie∕are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•			
·	Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ion and/or alaction re	auiram ant				
0)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
=	The specification is objected to by the						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	\square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object		•	` '			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been locuments have been f the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	nge		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-15	2)		
S Patent and T							

Application/Control Number: 09/855,148

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the Amendment filed May 31, 2005.

Accordingly, claims 2 and 7-9 were amended, and claims 1 and 5 were cancelled. Currently, claims 2-4 and 6-9 are pending in this application.

Applicant further filed a verified English Translation of the priority application to overcome Niiya et al. (USPN 6,674,503 B2). However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made as followed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2, 4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art in view of Takanashi et al. (Takanashi, USPN 5,231,527).

As shown in Figs. 1A, 1B, 1C, and 2, Applicant's Prior Art discloses a fabrication method of a liquid crystal display (LCD) panel 20, comprising the steps of:

forming a deformable seal member 4 containing second spacers 5 on a TFT transparent substrate 1 such that said seal member surrounds a display area of said liquid crystal display panel;

arranging first spacers 16 on said display area on the TFT substrate;

dropping liquid crystal 3 onto an area surrounded by said seal member on the TFT substrate:

Art Unit: 2871

forming a panel by sticking a color-filter transparent substrate 2 on the TFT substrate with said seal member in a vacuum chamber;

putting said panel under atmospheric pressure to deform said first spacers through a deformation of said panel due to a difference between said atmosphere pressure and a negative pressure inside said panel (Specification, paragraph 13); and

hardening said seal member after an inner volume of said panel becomes equal to a volume of said liquid crystal (Specification, paragraph 21),

wherein at least one of said first spacers is elastically deformed from an initial size thereof at the center portion of the panel before a gap at the seal member is deformable as shown in Fig. 1B.

Applicant's Prior Art discloses a fabrication method of a LCD panel that is basically the same as that recited in claims 2, 4 and 6-9 except that the initial size of the first spacer in a cell gap direction is not larger than an appropriate cell gap of the LCD panel, wherein a relative value of an initial average size of the first spacers to the appropriate cell gap is within a range of 102.9% to 107.0%.

As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, Takanashi discloses a LCD panel in which spacers 5 have an initial average size 6.0 micrometer (mean particle diameter) and a standard deviation of particle diameter of 0.4 micrometer to produce an appropriate cell gap which varies only to a small extend (from 5.95 to 6.03 micrometer) around 6.00 micrometer (col. 3, lines 35-57, col. 5, lines 63-68 and col. 6, lines 39-50). Accordingly, if the spacers are 6.2-6.4 micrometer in diameter and the cell gap is 6 micrometer, a

Application/Control Number: 09/855,148

Art Unit: 2871

relative value of an initial average size of the spacers 4 to the appropriate cell gap is 103.3%-106.7%.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Applicant's Prior Art with the teaching of Takanashi by using first spacers having a relative value of an initial average size to the appropriate cell gap of 103.3%-106.7% to realize a uniform display quality by reducing cell gap deviation (col. 1, lines 18-12).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art in view of Takanashi et al. (Takanashi, USPN 5,231,527) as applied to claims 2, 4 and 6-9 above, and further in view of Hiraichi et al. (Hiraichi, USPN 6,204,907 B1)

Applicant's Prior Art as modified in view of Takanashi above includes all that is recited in claim 3 except for the material of the second spacer which is hardly deformed under atmospheric pressure. Hiraishi discloses, as shown in Fig. 2, a LCD device comprising first spacers 7 which are plastic beads and second spacers which are glass beads disposed in a seal 14 to maintain the gap between a TFT substrate 10 and an opposite substrate 20 and to prevent the problem of inappropriate display in a neighborhood display of the seal 14 (col. 10, lines 16-22).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the Applicant's Prior art with the teaching of Hiraishi by having second spacers formed of a material such as glass beads, which is hardly deformed when it is pinched between the substrates under atmospheric pressure

Application/Control Number: 09/855,148

Art Unit: 2871

so as to obtain a good linearity in the sealing edge and a uniform cell gap for the display (col. 10, lines 16-22).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

Into

08/09/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 5